

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-02-28
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-28
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-24
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-02-28
Date of Last Revision: 2012-03-27

Agency: 010 - Department of the Interior **Bureau:** 76 - Bureau of Indian Affairs and Bureau of Indian Education

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: BIA - Trust Asset Accounting Management System (TAAMS)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII): 010-000000077

Section B: Investment Detail

- Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

TAAMS supports the management of trust title ownership, encumbrance, and land records through a centralized bureau-wide system. TAAMS also supports Trust Reform goals identified by DOI and BIA in the Cobell vs. Salazar case, as well as multiple strategic goals, including "honoring trust responsibilities to Indian Tribes." A performance gap existed before fielding TAAMS. The Land Title and Records Offices (LTROs) could not record title documents, e.g., deeds, leases, probates received from agencies/field offices with the speed required to certify and issue Title Status Reports (TSRs) for agencies to successfully complete trust asset transactions. Before TAAMS, the agency offices manually created and mailed title documents to LTROs, who manually recorded, microfilmed, and entered data into the title system to maintain title documents and chain-of-title to produce TSRs and manually validate its accuracy. The agency and LTRO processes were so time- and labor-intensive that significant backlogs existed for document recording, data entry, and issuance of certified TSRs and probate inventories. TAAMS significantly reduces the amount of time needed to process documents at the agency and LTROs. In TAAMS, title documents are created and entered at the agency and electronically delivered to LTROs via the TAAMS Image Repository where the document is recorded and processed into the land title, and then returned to the agency via the repository. TAAMS closes the gap between manual and

automated delivery and processing of title documents and certified land title products and reports. TAAMS expedites the processing of title ownership and the delivery of title documents and certified reports in minutes and hours instead of days and weeks. TAAMS is a vendor-customized COTS application operated as an Application Service Provider (ASP) that replaces several functionally-duplicative and obsolete legacy systems. It is a Mixed Life Cycle investment with both steady state portions and new components being deployed to multiple locations. This investment was reviewed by the DOI Investment Review Board (IRB) on 12/17/09. Legacy investments merged or to be merged into TAAMS include: GLADS, IRMS, LRIS, MAD, and SPRO Tracking System.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

A performance gap existed before fielding TAAMS. The Land Title and Records Offices (LTROs) could not record title documents, e.g., deeds, leases, probates received from agencies/field offices with the speed required to certify and issue Title Status Reports (TSRs) for agencies to successfully complete trust asset transactions. Before TAAMS, the agency offices manually created and mailed title documents to LTROs, who manually recorded, microfilmed, and entered data into the title system to maintain title documents and chain-of-title to produce TSRs and manually validate its accuracy. The agency and LTRO processes were so time- and labor-intensive that significant backlogs existed for document recording, data entry, and issuance of certified TSRs and probate inventories. TAAMS significantly reduces the amount of time needed to process documents at the agency and LTROs. In TAAMS, title documents are created and entered at the agency and electronically delivered to LTROs via the TAAMS Image Repository where the document is recorded and processed into the land title, and then returned to the agency via the repository. TAAMS closes the gap between manual and automated delivery and processing of title documents and certified land title products and reports. TAAMS expedites the processing of title ownership and the delivery of title documents and certified reports in minutes and hours instead of days and weeks. TAAMS is a vendor-customized COTS application operated as an Application Service Provider (ASP) that replaces several functionally-duplicative and obsolete legacy systems. It is a Mixed Life Cycle investment with both steady state portions and new components being deployed to multiple locations. This investment underwent a DOI iStat review in July, 2011. Legacy investments merged or to be merged into TAAMS include: GLADS, IRMS, LRIS, MAD, and SPRO Tracking System. If DME activities are not fully funded, then the new modules will not be added to the system. If Operations and Maintenance is not fully funded, then TAAMS would not be able to operate at full performance.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

2011 (PY) accomplishments include: 1. Added the Mineral Royalty Accounting Distribution (MRAD) Module. 2. Added the Business Leasing Module.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

Planned accomplishments for 2012 (CY): 1. Add the Acquisition and Disposal Module which includes the Fee to Trust Tracking System. 2. Replatform operating system from Citrix and AS-400 to Oracle and Java.

5. **Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2003-01-01

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$3.2	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$34.1	\$0.9	\$0.2	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$37.3	\$0.9	\$0.2	0
O & M Costs:	\$74.2	\$10.3	\$6.7	\$6.8
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$74.2	\$10.3	\$6.7	\$6.8
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$111.5	\$11.2	\$6.9	\$6.8
Total Govt. FTE costs:	0	0	0	0
# of FTE rep by costs:	6	2	2	2
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$3.4	\$-0.4	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		43.90%	-5.30%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

Funding change reflects rebaseline approved in DOI iStat review. The PY increase of 3.426087 reflects investment costs not captured in the President's Budget. The costs decreased by 0.387706 in CY because the costs were aligned to the contracted costs.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded	1450	INICGIF0009008	INICMK00090001	1450							
Awarded	1450	INICGIF0009006	INICMK00090001	1450							
Awarded	1450	INICGIF0009012	INICMK00090001	1450							
Awarded	1450	INICGIF0009004	INICMK00090001	1450							
Awarded	1450	INICGIF0009003	INICMK00090001	1450							
Awarded	1450	INICGIF0009005	INICMK00090001	1450							
Awarded	1450	INICGIF0009001	INICMK00090001	1450							
Awarded	1450	INICGIF0009002	INICMK00090001	1450							

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Earned value is not used for DME portions of this investment because it is not a requirement in the contract. Earned value is not used for the SS portions of this investment. "EVMS is not required for operational/steady-state projects" per Karen S. Evans of OMB in a memo dated August 4, 2005.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-02-28

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects

Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
2	TAAMS A and D	TAAMS Acquisition and Disposal Module with Fee to Trust Tracking System.			

Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
2	TAAMS A and D							

Key Deliverables

Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
--------------	---------------	-------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------	--------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------

NONE

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Number of outages per year during business hours.	Number of Outages	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Under target	5.000000	3.000000		3.000000	Monthly
The time required to produce and issue a certified title.	Time in Hours	Customer Results - Timeliness and Responsiveness	Under target	6.750000	3.000000		3.000000	Quarterly
Number of title documents created per year.	Number of Title Documents Created	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Over target	22000.000000	22000.000000		22000.000000	Quarterly
Number of beneficiaries served per year.	Number of Beneficiaries	Mission and Business Results - Management of Government Resources	Over target	30318.000000	30318.000000		33349.000000	Quarterly
Time required to record a probate conveyance document.	Time in Hours	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Under target	3.000000	1.750000		1.750000	Quarterly